

Calorons, Nahm's equations on S^1 and bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$

Benoit Charbonneau and Jacques Hurtubise *

October 26, 2006

Abstract

The moduli space of solutions to Nahm's equations of rank $(k, k + j)$ on the circle, and hence, of $SU(2)$ calorons of charge (k, j) , is shown to be equivalent to the moduli of holomorphic rank 2 bundles on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ trivialized at infinity ($\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$) with $c_2 = k$ and equipped with a flag of degree j along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$. An explicit matrix description of these spaces is given by a monad construction.

1 Introduction.

The four-dimensional (anti-)self-dual Yang–Mills (ASD) equations, and their solutions (called *instantons*), are by now a staple of both geometry and physics, whose myriad uses and properties are too many to summarise here. The earliest base manifold on which these equations were studied was simply $\mathbb{R}^4$; various constructions in this case have been given, the most efficient of which is the well known ADHM construction [2].

Early on, solutions were produced by reducing the equations under the various symmetry groups acting on $\mathbb{R}^4$ (e.g. [13, 14]). These reduced equations turn out to be interesting in their own right. Indeed, invariance under the action of $\mathbb{R}$ by translation produces monopoles, solutions to the Bogomolny equations ([32]); invariance under the action of $\mathbb{R}^2$ produces the Hitchin equations whose analysis tells us a lot about bundles on Riemann surfaces ([16]). Invariance under $\mathbb{R}^3$ yields Nahm's equations, some important ordinary differential equations. The case (or rather two cases as we will see) that concerns us here, that of minimal (translation) invariance, under a single discrete translation ($\mathbb{Z}$ -invariance), with suitable boundary conditions, corresponds to the case of *calorons*. These gauge fields have seen a recurrence of interest recently, for a variety of reasons; see [3, 4, 5, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28].

From this list of examples, it would seem that the most interesting cases were produced by considering various Abelian groups acting on $\mathbb{R}^4$ by translation. While this is a question of taste, these cases do possess a most interesting feature, a correspondence due to Nahm ([27, 8]), only proven in certain cases, which postulates an isomorphism between the moduli of instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4$ invariant under the action of a closed subgroup G of translations of $\mathbb{R}^4$ (which can be thought of as suitable fields on $\mathbb{R}^4/G$), and instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4$ invariant under the action of a dual group $\hat{G}$. The boundary conditions for both sets of fields must be defined with care for the correspondence to hold. So far quite a good set of cases are known; see [18].

In the case of calorons, the heuristic suggests a correspondence between calorons (instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4/\mathbb{Z} = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, with appropriate boundary conditions), and solutions to *Nahm's equations* (o.d.e.s given by reducing the ASD equations) on the circle, again with suitable boundary behavior at selected points on the circle (see below). This correspondence has been partially proved by Nye [29] and Nye–Singer ([30]). We complete the proof in [7], showing the correspondence is exact.

Thus the moduli space of calorons corresponds to the moduli space of solutions to Nahm's equations on the circle, and it is this space that we examine in this paper. In deciding what this space should be, we are guided by a few basic ideas.

*The first author is supported by an NSERC PDF and wishes to thank Alexandru Ghitza for useful discussions. The second author is supported by NSERC and FQRNT grants. The diagrams in this paper were created using Paul Taylor's Commutative Diagram package.

The first of these is that calorons with gauge group K can be thought as monopoles over $\mathbb{R}^3$, with values in the Kac–Moody algebra $\tilde{L}K$. This point of view has been developed by Garland and Murray ([11]), and is a very useful way of understanding calorons, in particular for moduli. Indeed, our second idea is that monopoles with compact simple gauge group K and maximal symmetry breaking at infinity (part of the boundary conditions) on $\mathbb{R}^3$ correspond to rational maps of $\mathbb{P}^1$ to K/T , with T the maximal torus. This is known for K a classical group, ([10, 17]) but should hold for all compact simple groups. The space K/T can also be written as $K_{\mathbb{C}}/B$, where $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of K , and B a Borel subgroup.

Combining these two ideas, the moduli space of calorons for gauge group K , (or rather of solutions to Nahm’s equations) should be that of rational maps into the homogeneous space given by quotienting the loop group $LK_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the subgroup $\hat{L}B$ consisting of Fourier series with only terms of non-negative degree, and with the degree zero term lying in B .

This is where a third idea, due to Atiyah ([1]) comes into play. One thinks of elements of $LK_{\mathbb{C}}$ as transition functions for a $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$; a map of $\mathbb{P}^1$ into $LK_{\mathbb{C}}$ then defines a bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Working through the quotienting by $\hat{L}B$, as in [1], tells us the theorem that we are going to prove in this paper. We restrict to the case of $SU(2)$ calorons.

Theorem 1 *The moduli space of solutions of rank $(k, k + j)$ to Nahm’s equations on the circle (Equations (68) and (69)) is equivalent to the moduli space of pairs vector bundles E of rank 2 on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, first Chern class zero and second Chern number k , trivialized over $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$, and equipped with an injective map ϕ from the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-j)$ of degree $-j$ to the restriction of E to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$ (up to non-zero scalar multiple), such that the image of $\phi(\infty)$ lies in the subspace spanned by the second vector of the trivialization at (∞, ∞) .*

The proof of this theorem goes in several steps, each interesting in its own right:

- We first show that the pairs (E, ϕ) are equivalent to certain matrices, satisfying some algebraic conditions. This step is a generalization of the monad construction of [9]. It is the subject of Sections 2 and 3.
- We then show that the monad is equivalent to a set of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and maps between them. We do so in Section 4.
- Finally, in Section 5, we show that these sheaves are equivalent to a Nahm complex over the circle, and hence to a solution of the Nahm equations.

Of the various steps in the chain of equivalences, perhaps the one expressing the caloron, or bundle plus map, as a diagram of sheaves over $\mathbb{P}^1$ is most deserving of comment. The twistor construction of calorons, at least from a Kac–Moody point of view, is given by some algebro-geometric data over $T\mathbb{P}^1$, and the diagram of sheaves is given by restricting this data to a fiber $\mathbb{C}$ of the projection $T\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and extending it to $\mathbb{P}^1$. This is quite similar to what happens for monopoles

One sees again the theme of Kac–Moody groups. Our moduli space, let us not forget, is supposed to correspond to that of maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to a homogeneous space corresponding to this group. In the finite dimensional case of homogeneous spaces for $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, one can describe maps into a flag manifold in terms of similar diagrams of sheaves ([17]); it is not surprising that this pattern reoccurs here, and that it is a case in which the “finite-dimensional” aspects of the Kac–Moody group (root spaces, etc) predominate.

2 Monad Construction.

Let us use standard affine coordinates (x, y) on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, denote π the projection on the first factor, and $i_{y_0}: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ the injection $x \mapsto (x, y_0)$. Set $H_1 := \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H_2 := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. For any sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, denote

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_{y_0} := i_{y_0*} i_{y_0}^* \mathfrak{F}$$

the extension by zero of the restriction of $\mathfrak{F}$ at level y_0 and

$$\mathfrak{F}(p, q) := \mathfrak{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(pH_1 + qH_2).$$

Let E be a $Sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with $c_2(E) = k$, trivial over the fiber $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, trivialized over the section $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$ (thus equipped with a standard degree zero flag $E_{\infty-} \subset E_{\infty}$ defined by the first basis vector) and with given flag $E_{0+} \subset E_0$ of degree j over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$, and such that identifying the fiber of E at $(\infty, 0)$ and (∞, ∞) , the flags E_{0+} and $E_{\infty-}$ are transverse.

We define three locally free sheaves K_0, K_{∞} and $K_{0\infty}$ by the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_0/E_{0+} \cong \mathcal{O}(j, 0)_0 \longrightarrow 0, \quad (1)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\infty} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_{\infty}/E_{\infty-} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\infty} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{0\infty} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_0/E_{0+} \oplus E_{\infty}/E_{\infty-} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

As a consequence we have supplementary sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow K_{0\infty}(0, 1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\infty} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{\infty} \longrightarrow K_{0\infty}(0, 1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j, 0)_0 \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

In Section 5, we define the Nahm complex using the diagram of sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(0, -1) & \longleftarrow & K_{\infty}(0, -1) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(0, -1) & \longrightarrow & K_{0\infty}, \end{array} \quad (6)$$

taking direct images onto $\mathbb{P}^1$. We have the easily proven lemma.

Lemma 1 1) $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1))) = \mathbb{C}^k$, and $R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1))$ is supported on k points, counted with multiplicity.

2) $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty})) = \mathbb{C}^{k+j}$, and $R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty})$ is supported on $k+j$ points, counted with multiplicity.

3) $R^1\pi_*(K_{\infty}(0, -1))$ and $R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1))$ are supported over the whole line; generically, $R^1\pi_*(K_{\infty}(0, -1)) = \mathcal{O}(k)$, $R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1)) = \mathcal{O}(k+j)$.

4) These sheaves fit in the exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(j) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & 0, \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_{\infty}(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & 0, \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty}) & \rightarrow & 0, \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-j) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_{\infty}(0, -1)) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty}) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array} \quad (7)$$

In particular, the natural maps from $R^1\pi_*(K_{\infty}(0, -1))$ and $R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1))$ to $R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1))$ and $R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty})$ are all surjections, and the kernels are all torsion free.

Proof: On a generic fiber of π , we have $E = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$, $K_0 = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, $K_{\infty} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $K_{0\infty} = \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Hence for $F = E(0, -1)$, $K_0(0, -1)$, $K_{\infty}(0, -1)$, or $K_{0\infty}$, and for w generic, $F|_{\pi^{-1}(w)}$ has no global sections. Thus $\pi_*F = 0$, and $R^1\pi_*E(0, -1)$, $R^1\pi_*K_0(0, -1)$, and $R^1\pi_*K_{\infty}(0, -1)$ are line bundles over the generic set of w for which $K_0 = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, and $K_{\infty} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$; for the generic bundle, this is all of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Then statement 4 follows from taking the direct image of the sequences (1)–(3). Statements 1, 2, and 3 follow from the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch theorem, and Sequences (1)–(3). The proof is now complete. $\square$

Let F be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of rank r with first and second Chern classes c_1 and c_2 . Using the Riemann–Roch theorem, we find

$$\chi(F) = \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2 + (H_1 + H_2) \cdot c_1 + r, \quad (8)$$

$$\chi(F(p, q)) = \chi(F) + r(p + q) + pH_1 \cdot c_1 + qH_2 \cdot c_1 + rpq. \quad (9)$$

Lemma 2 *Let F be a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, trivial on a section $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{y\}$. Then $H_2.c_1 = 0$, and as functions of q ,*

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(F(p, q)) &\text{ is constant for fixed } p \leq -1, \\ h^1(F(-1, q)) &\text{ is constant,} \\ h^2(F(p, q)) &\text{ is constant for fixed } p \geq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for F trivial on a fiber $\{x\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we have $H_1.c_1 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(F(p, q)) &\text{ is constant for fixed } q \leq -1, \\ h^1(F(p, -1)) &\text{ is constant as functions of } p, \\ h^2(F(p, q)) &\text{ is constant for fixed } q \geq -1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Suppose F is a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is trivial on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{y\}$. We have an exact sequence of sheaves $0 \rightarrow F(0, -1) \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_y \rightarrow 0$. Tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(p, q)$, this sequence gives us a long exact sequence in cohomology, which ensures an isomorphism between $H^i(F(p, q))$ and $H^i(F(p, q-1))$ whenever both $H^i(F_y(p, q))$ and $H^{i-1}(F_y(p, q))$ are 0. Because of the equality $H^i(F_y(p, q)) = H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(p)^r)$, this condition happens precisely to ensure the h^i are constant as specified.

Since F is trivial along a section, we just proved that

$$\chi(F(-1, q)) = \chi(F) - r - H_1.c_1 + qH_2.c_1$$

is constant as a function of q . Hence $H_2.c_1 = 0$. With this remark, the first half of this lemma is proved. The second half is obtained by symmetry. $\square$

Our bundle E , being trivial over the fiber and section at ∞ , has thus $c_1 = 0$.

Lemma 3 *For K_0 , K_∞ and $K_{0\infty}$ defined by Sequences (1), (2), and (3),*

$$\begin{aligned} c(K_0) &= 1 - H_2 + (k + j)H_1H_2, \\ c(K_\infty) &= 1 - H_2 + kH_1H_2, \\ c(K_{0\infty}) &= 1 + 2H_2 + (k + j)H_1H_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(K_0(p, q)) &= -(k + j) + (1 + p)(1 + 2q), \\ \chi(K_\infty(p, q)) &= -k + (1 + p)(1 + 2q), \\ \chi(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) &= -(k + j) + 2q(1 + p). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: On the following exact sequences, use that $c(\mathfrak{F}_3) = c(\mathfrak{F}_1)c(\mathfrak{F}_2)^{-1}$ when $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_3 \rightarrow 0$ is exact:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow E(0, -1) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j, -1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j, 0)_0 \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j, 0)_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_0/E_{0+} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_0/E_{0+} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow K_{0\infty} \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow E_\infty/E_{\infty-} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Equations (8) and (9), we obtain from those Chern classes the Euler characteristics. Setting $j = 0$ gives the answers for K_∞ . $\square$

Using this result, we can compute many of the cohomology groups of the bundles $E, K_0, K_\infty, K_{0\infty}$.

Theorem 2 (Vanishing) *The cohomology groups of the bundles E , K_0 , K_∞ , and $K_{0\infty}$ defined by Sequences (1), (2), and (3) vanish as follows:*

$$p \leq -1 \text{ or } q \leq -1 \implies h^0(E(p, q)) = h^0(K_0(p, q)) = h^0(K_\infty(p, q)) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$p \leq -1 \text{ or } q \leq 0 \implies h^0(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$p \geq -1 \text{ or } q \geq -1 \implies h^2(E(p, q)) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$p \geq -1 \text{ or } q \geq 0 \implies h^2(K_0(p, q)) = h^2(K_\infty(p, q)) = h^2(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) = 0. \quad (13)$$

When $h^0 = h^2 = 0$, we get an exact formula for h^1 :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (p \leq -1 \text{ and } q \geq -1) \\ \text{or } (p \geq -1 \text{ and } q \leq -1) \end{array} \right\} \implies h^1(E(p, q)) = k - 2(1 + q)(1 + p), \quad (14)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (p \leq -1 \text{ and } q \geq 0) \\ \text{or} \\ (p \geq -1 \text{ and } q \leq -1) \end{array} \right\} \implies h^1(K_0(p, q)) = (k + j) - (1 + 2q)(1 + p), \quad (15)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (p \leq -1 \text{ and } q \geq 0) \\ \text{or } (p \geq -1 \text{ and } q \leq 0) \end{array} \right\} \implies h^1(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) = (k + j) - 2q(1 + p). \quad (16)$$

Equation (15) is valid for K_∞ by setting $j = 0$. When $j \geq 1$, we get extra information for K_0 : for $p \leq j - 1$, we have

$$h^0(K_0(p, 0)) = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$h^1(K_0(p, 0)) = k + j - 1 - p. \quad (18)$$

Proof: Lemma 2 tell us that $h^2(E(p, q))$ is constant in the region

$$\{(p, q) \mid p \geq -1 \text{ or } q \geq -1\}.$$

For any $i > 0$, and N big enough, Theorem B of Serre (see [12, p.700]) says $h^i(F(N, N)) = 0$. Thus $h^2(E(p, q)) = 0$ throughout this region, proving (12). By Serre duality, we have the corresponding result for h^0 .

Restricted to a generic section, K_0 , K_∞ and $K_{0\infty}$ are trivial. Hence the first part of Lemma 2 applies. Restricted to the fiber above ∞ however, K_0 and K_∞ are $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$ while $K_{0\infty}$ is $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

Working as in the proof of Lemma 2, we have for $F \in \{K_0, K_\infty\}$ an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^i(F(p - 1, q)) \rightarrow H^i(F(p, q)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(q) \oplus \mathcal{O}(q - 1)) \cdots$$

ensuring that

$$h^0(F(p, q)) \text{ is constant for fixed } q \leq -1,$$

$$h^2(F(p, q)) \text{ is constant for fixed } q \geq 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$h^0(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) \text{ is constant for fixed } q \leq 0,$$

$$h^2(K_{0\infty}(p, q)) \text{ is constant for fixed } q \geq 0.$$

Again, we deduct from Theorem B the wanted vanishing for the h^0 and h^2 .

Whenever $h^0 = h^2 = 0$, we have $h^1 = -\chi$. The exact formula for h^1 thus follow from the Riemann–Roch Equation (9) used with Lemma 3. $\square$

Because of all this vanishing, the following theorem guarantees a monad description of those bundles.

Theorem 3 (Buchdahl's Beilinson's theorem) *For any holomorphic vector bundle F on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, there is a spectral sequence with E_1 -term*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{h^2(F(-1, -1))} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{h^2(F(-1, 0))} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1)^{h^2(F(0, -1))} & \mathcal{O}^{h^2(F)} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{h^1(F(-1, -1))} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{h^1(F(-1, 0))} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1)^{h^1(F(0, -1))} & \mathcal{O}^{h^1(F)} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{h^0(F(-1, -1))} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{h^0(F(-1, 0))} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1)^{h^0(F(0, -1))} & \mathcal{O}^{h^0(F)} \end{array}$$

and

$$E_1^{p,q} \Rightarrow E_\infty^{p+q} = \begin{cases} F, & \text{if } p+q = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: See [6, p. 144]. Use [15, Ex. 6.1, p. 237] and [15, Prop. 6.3, p. 234] to see that the only extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1, 0)(1, 0)' \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)(0, 1)' \rightarrow 0$ on $H_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the trivial one. Hence we replace the term $E_1^{-1,q}$ in the H_n -analogue of Beilinson's theorem by the direct sum as in the statement above. $\square$

Now let us exploit the vanishing Theorem together with the machinery of monads. First, let us check existence.

Theorem 4 (Existence of monad) *Let E be one of the bundles we are considering, that is trivial on $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. The bundles E, K_0, K_∞ and $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ are the cohomology of monads of respective type*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^k, \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k+j} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+j+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j+1}, \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+1}, \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k+j} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+j+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Before starting the proof, set

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(F) &:= H^1(F(-1, -2)), & V_2(F) &:= H^1(F(-1, -1)), \\ V_3(F) &:= H^1(F(0, -2)), & V_4(F) &:= H^1(F(0, -1)), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Proof: We use extensively the Vanishing Theorem 2. Suppose $F \in \{E, K_0, K_\infty\}$. The cohomology groups appearing in the E_1 -term for $F(0, -1)$ are $H^i(F(p, q))$ with $p \in \{-1, 0\}$ and $q \in \{-2, -1\}$. Then $q \leq -1$ implies those groups with $i = 0$ are trivial, and $p \geq -1$ implies those groups with $i = 2$ are also trivial. For the $K_{0\infty}(p, q)$ appearing, with $p, q \in \{-1, 0\}$, the fact that $q \leq 0$ ensures $h^0 = 0$ while $p \geq -1$ ensures $h^2 = 0$.

The E_1 -term for $F(0, -1)$ with $F \in \{E, K_0, K_\infty, K_{0\infty}(0, 1)\}$ thus reduces to the middle row, so that $F(0, -1)$ is the cohomology of a monad of the form

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, -1) \otimes V_1(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \otimes V_2(F) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1) \otimes V_3(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes V_4(F).$$

The exact formula for the dimensions of those spaces are given in Theorem 2, and correspond to the values given in Equation (19). Tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(0, 1)$ concludes the proof. $\square$

The following lemma can be used to double check the result.

Lemma 4 *For the cohomology F of a monad*

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k_3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k_4}, \tag{21}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk} F &= k_2 + k_3 - k_1 - k_4, \\ c(F) &= 1 + (k_1 - k_2)H_1 + (k_2 - k_4)H_2 \\ &\quad + (k_2(k_1 + k_4 + 1 - k_2) - k_1 k_4)H_1 H_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: From [31, Lemma 3.1.2, p. 240], we have

$$c(F) = c(\mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k_3}) c(\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k_1})^{-1} c(\mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k_4})^{-1}.$$

Since $c(\mathcal{O}(p, q)^r) = 1 + r(pH_1 + qH_2) + r(r-1)pqH_1H_2$, we have the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5 *For the monads of Theorem 4, written as*

$$\mathbf{M}(F): \begin{array}{ccc} V_1 & & V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \\ \otimes & & \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 y + \alpha_0 \\ \beta_1 x + \beta_0 \end{bmatrix}} & V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 x + \mu_0 & \nu_1 y + \nu_0 \end{bmatrix}} & V_4 \\ & & & & \otimes \\ & & & & \mathcal{O}(0, 1) \end{array},$$

the map α_1 is an isomorphism, β_1 and μ_1 are injective, ν_1 is surjective, and $\ker(\nu_1) \cap \text{Im}(\beta_1) = 0$.

Proof: Note first that for $F \in \{E, K_0, K_\infty, K_{0\infty}(0, 1)\}$, we have $F|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}}$ trivial and $F|_{\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^2$, $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, or $\mathcal{O}(-1)^2$.

Let us first study the restriction to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. Consider the monad

$$\mathbf{M}(F|): V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 x + \beta_0 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{array}{ccc} V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \\ \oplus \\ V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 x + \mu_0 & \nu_1 \end{bmatrix}} V_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

Since $0 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \ker \rightarrow F| \rightarrow 0$ is exact, we have $H^1(\ker) = H^1(F|) = 0$. Since $0 \rightarrow \ker \rightarrow V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow V_4 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$ is exact, we obtain

$$V_3 \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\nu_1} V_4 \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(\ker) = 0,$$

whence ν_1 is surjective.

Consider the monad

$$\mathbf{M}(F|^*(-1)): V_4^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} V_2^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \\ \oplus \\ V_3^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \end{array} \rightarrow V_1^* \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

Note that as before, $H^1(\ker) = H^1(F|^*(-1)) = 0$, but here $H^0 = 0$ as well. Thus

$$0 = H^0(\ker) \rightarrow V_2^* \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^*} V_1^* \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(\ker) = 0,$$

being exact, we have that α_1 is an isomorphism.

Now let us study the restriction to $\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the monad

$$\mathbf{M}(F|(-1)): V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 y + \alpha_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{array}{ccc} V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O} \\ \oplus \\ V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & \nu_1 y + \nu_0 \end{bmatrix}} V_4 \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

As before, we have an exact sequence

$$0 = H^0(\ker) \rightarrow V_2 \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} V_4 \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}),$$

whence μ_1 is injective.

Consider now

$$\mathbf{M}(F|^*): V_4^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} V_2^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \\ \oplus \\ V_3^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \end{array} \rightarrow V_1^* \otimes \mathcal{O}.$$

From this exact sequence we get in cohomology the exact sequence

$$V_3^* \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\beta_1^*} V_1^* \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(\ker) = 0,$$

whence β_1 is injective.

The monad equation $\mu_1 \alpha_1 + \nu_1 \beta_1 = 0$ and the injectivity of $\mu_1 \alpha_1$ imposes $\ker(\nu_1) \cap \text{Im}(\beta_1) = 0$. The proof of Lemma 5 is now complete. $\square$

Since the construction of Buchdahl is natural, a map $\phi: F \rightarrow F'$ induces maps in cohomology $\phi_*: V_i(F) \rightarrow V_i(F')$, which we denote $\Phi_{i,F,F'}$, or Φ_i when there is no risk of confusion.

Using Sequences (1), (2), and (4), twisted by $\mathcal{O}(p, q)$, we have that all the $\Phi_{i,K_0,E}$, $\Phi_{i,K_\infty,E}$ and $\Phi_{i,K_0,K_{0\infty}(0,1)}$ induced by the injections are surjective. Moreover, because $k_1 = k_2$ sometimes, four of those maps are isomorphisms.

Sequence (5), tensored by $\mathcal{O}(p, q)$, yields the exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(p-j)) \rightarrow H^1(K_\infty(p, q)) \rightarrow H^1(K_{0\infty}(p, q+1)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(p-j)).$$

Depending on p and j , we obtain surjectivity and/or injectivity. We can summarize this information with the diagrams of the following lemma.

Lemma 6 *The four maps of Diagram (6) induce monad maps with the following surjectivity/injectivity properties:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1(K_0) \hookrightarrow V_1(K_{0\infty}(0,1)) & V_3(K_0) \twoheadrightarrow V_3(K_{0\infty}(0,1)) & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \uparrow \text{surj. if } j \leq 1, \\ V_1(E) \longleftarrow V_1(K_\infty) & V_3(E) \longleftarrow V_3(K_\infty) & \uparrow \text{inj. if } j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_2(K_0) \hookrightarrow V_2(K_{0\infty}(0,1)) & V_4(K_0) \twoheadrightarrow V_4(K_{0\infty}(0,1)) & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \uparrow \text{surj. if } j \leq 1, \\ V_2(E) \longleftarrow V_2(K_\infty) & V_4(E) \longleftarrow V_4(K_\infty) & \uparrow \text{inj. if } j \geq 1 \end{array}$$

Remark 1 *The monads given by Theorem 4, of the type given by Equation (21), are uniquely determined up to the action of*

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k_1}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k_3}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k_4});$$

see [31, Lemma 4.1.3 on p. 276]. This group is exactly

$$Gl(k_1, \mathbb{C}) \times Gl(k_2, \mathbb{C}) \times Gl(k_3, \mathbb{C}) \times Gl(k_4, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let us exploit those symmetries to give normal forms for the monads of Theorem 4. Before doing so, set

$$s := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad e_+ := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Theorem 5 *For $j > 0$, the bundle E , K_0 , K_∞ and $K_{0\infty}(0,1)$ are respectively the cohomology of the monads*

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(E) \\ A-y \\ B-x \\ D \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+2} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{B}(E) \\ x-B & A-y & C \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^k, \quad (23)$$

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_0)} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}^{k+j+3}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_0)} \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j+1}, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A-y & 0 \\ A' & -y \\ B-x & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & s-x \\ D & 0 \\ 0 & e_+ \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 & A-y & 0 & C & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} -B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & x-s & A' & -y & C' & 0 \\ 0 & -e_+ & 0 & 0 & [1 \ 0] & -y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_\infty)} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}^{k+3}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_\infty)} \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+1}, \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A-y \\ B-x \\ D \\ D_2 A \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} x-B & A-y & C & 0 \\ -D_2 & 0 & [0 \ -y] & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1))} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{O}^{k+j+2}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1))} \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j}, \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A-y & 0 \\ A' & -y \\ B-x & -C_1 e_+ \\ \begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & s-x-C'_1 e_+ \\ D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & e_+ \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{B}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1)) = \begin{bmatrix} x-B & C_1 e_+ & A-y & 0 & C_2 & AC_1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} -B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & x-s+C'_1 e_+ & A' & -y & C'_2 & A'C_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

with A, B, C, D, A', B' and C' being matrices of respective size $k \times k, k \times k, k \times 2, 2 \times k, j \times k, 1 \times k, j \times 2$, (C_i, C'_i being the i th column of C_i, C'_i , and D_i the i th row of D), and satisfying the monad equations

$$[A, B] + CD = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} A + sA' - A'B - C'D = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$-e_+ A' + [1 \ 0] D = 0, \quad (29)$$

and the genericity conditions

$$\begin{bmatrix} A-y \\ B-x \\ D \end{bmatrix} \text{ injective for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x-B & A-y & C \end{bmatrix} \text{ surjective for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x-B & A & C & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} -B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & A' & C' & x-s \\ 0 & 0 & [1 \ 0] & -e_+ \end{bmatrix} \text{ surjective for all } x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (32)$$

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & M \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & M^{j-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \text{ is an isomorphism,} \quad (33)$$

$$(34)$$

where

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} B & -C_1 e_+ \\ \begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & s - C'_1 e_+ \end{bmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

modulo the action of $Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$

$$(A, B, C, D, A', B', C') \mapsto (gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1}, gC, Dg^{-1}, A'g^{-1}, B'g^{-1}, C'). \quad (36)$$

The maps between the bundles are mediated by the following maps of monads

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_0)} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k+j} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+j+3} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_0)} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j+1} \\ \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -C_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -C'_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -C_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -C'_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1))} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k+j} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+j+2} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1))} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j} \\ \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_2 \\ 0 & C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & A' & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C'_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \\ A' & C'_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_\infty)} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+3} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_\infty)} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+1} \\ \downarrow [1] & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^k & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(E)} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+2} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(E)} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^k \\ \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(K_0)} & \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k+j} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{k+j+3} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(K_0)} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k+j+1}. \end{array} \quad (37)$$

When $j = 0$, the bundle E and K_∞ are the cohomology of the same monads given by Equation (23) and (25), with the matrices A, B, C, D satisfying Equations (27), (30), and (31), the matrix A is invertible, and the matrices for K_0 and $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(K_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} A - y \\ B - x \\ D \\ D_1 A^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, & \mathcal{B}(K_0) &= \begin{bmatrix} x - B & A - y & C & 0 \\ -D_1 A^{-1} & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & -y \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1)) &= \begin{bmatrix} A - y \\ B - C_1 D_1 A^{-1} - x \\ \begin{bmatrix} D_2 \\ D_1 A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, & \mathcal{B}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1)) &= \begin{bmatrix} x - B + C_1 D_1 A^{-1} & A - y & \begin{bmatrix} C_2 & AC_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The maps between the bundle are mediated by a the $j = 0$ version of Diagram (37).

The proof of this theorem is postponed to Section 3.

Remark 2 A flag of degree j in $E|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}}$ is given by the projective equivalence class of a (pointwise) injective map of bundle

$$\mathcal{O}(-j) \hookrightarrow E|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}}.$$

The bundle $E|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ splits as a sum $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The injection $\mathcal{O}(-j) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n)$ is equivalent to a nowhere vanishing section of $\mathcal{O}(n+j) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n+j)$. Thus the existence of a flag $\mathcal{O}(-j) \hookrightarrow E|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}}$ guarantees that $E|_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}}$ splits as $\mathcal{O}(-j) \oplus \mathcal{O}(j)$ when $j \leq 0$, and as $\mathcal{O}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-n)$ for some $0 \leq n \leq j$ when $j \geq 0$. Obviously, only $j \geq 0$ matters for studying E , but it turns out the result for $j \leq 0$ is useful for studying K_0 .

The splitting of E_0 imposed by the existence of the flag forces $\dim \ker(A) = n$. Indeed, restrict Monad (23) to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$, and tensor with $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ throughout to obtain $E|_{(-1)}$ as the cohomology of the monad

$$\mathcal{O}(-2)^k \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(-2)^k \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+2} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}(-1)^k.$$

One then has $h^1(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}, E(-1)) = \dim \operatorname{coker}(A)$, hence

$$\dim \ker(A) = n \quad (\text{or } |j|, \text{ if } j \leq 0). \quad (38)$$

In particular, A is invertible when $j = 0$.

Let us now consider the converse to Theorem 5. Given matrices satisfying the monad equations (27), (28) and (29), we can construct cohomology sheaves E , K_0 , K_∞ , $K_{0\infty}(0,1)$ with maps $K_0 \rightarrow E$, $K_\infty \rightarrow E$, $K_0 \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0,1)$, $K_\infty \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0,1)$. The genericity conditions (30), (31), and (32) ensures those sheaves are bundles. It is routine, but lengthy, work to verify that those maps are injective sheaf maps. Similarly, it is not hard to verify that the cokernels of $K_0 \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0,1)$ and $K_\infty \rightarrow E$ are $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, as wanted. There remains the cokernels of the maps $K_\infty \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0,1)$ and $K_0 \rightarrow E$.

Suppose $f \in \ker(\mathcal{B}(E))$, with components f_1, f_2, f_3 in the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^j \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ used in Theorem 5. Away from $y = 0$, f is the image of

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ 0 \\ f_2 \\ \left(-\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} f_1 + A' f_2 + C' f_3\right)/y \\ f_3 \\ [1 \ 0] f_3/y \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(\mathcal{B}(K_0)),$$

hence the cokernel Q_0 of the map $K_0 \rightarrow E$ is supported on $y = 0$. To verify that $Q_0 = \mathcal{O}(j, 0)_0$, as in Equation (1), we verify that $\pi_* Q_0 = \mathcal{O}(j)$, using the following lemma.

Lemma 7 Suppose that a bundle F is defined by a monad

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k_1} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} A_1 y + A_0 \\ B_1 x + B_0 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{O}(-1, 1)^{k_2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}^{k_3} \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} C_1 x + C_0 & D_1 y + D_0 \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}(0, 1)^{k_4}, \quad (39)$$

and that $\pi_* F(0, -1) = 0$. Then $R^1 \pi_* F(0, -1)$ has a resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k_2} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} C_1 x + C_0 \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}^{k_4} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* F(0, -1) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (40)$$

Proof: Use Künneth Theorem for sheaves ([19, Prop 9.2.4 p. 116]) to see that

$$R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}(p, q) = \mathcal{O}(p) \otimes H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(q)).$$

Alternatively, use the projection formula [15, Ex. 8.3, p. 253], and prove $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}(0, q) = \mathcal{O}^{h^i(q)}$ using the exact sequence $\mathcal{O}(0, q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(0, q+1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}|_{x=\infty}$.

Tensor Monad (39) by $\mathcal{O}(0, -1)$, and let $K = \ker [C_1 x + C_0 \quad D_1 x + D_0]$. The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{k_1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow F(0, -1) \rightarrow 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ gives on $\mathbb{P}^1$ the isomorphisms

$$R^i \pi_* K = R^i \pi_* F(0, -1), \text{ for } i \geq 0.$$

The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, 0)^{k_2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(0, -1)^{k_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{k_4} \rightarrow 0$ induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_* K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{k_4} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* K \longrightarrow 0$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and $R^i \pi_* K = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. The proof is now complete. $\square$

This lemma gives resolutions for $R^1 \pi_* K_0(0, -1)$ and $R^1 \pi_* E(0, -1)$, with kernels the zero sheaves $\pi_* K_0(0, -1)$ and $\pi_* E(0, -1)$. We then have a resolution diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1)^k & \xrightarrow{x-B} & \mathcal{O}^k & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_* E(0, -1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 \\ -B' & x-s \\ 0 & -e_+ \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j+1} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_* K_0(0, -1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1)^j & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-s \\ -e_+ \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Diagram chasing gives us a kernel K , with its resolution; however, the last row is a fairly standard resolution of $\mathcal{O}(j)$, and so $K = \mathcal{O}(j)$.

It remains to verify that the cokernel Q_∞ of the map $K_\infty \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ is $\mathcal{O}(-j, 0)_0$. We first check that the map is surjective away from $y = 0$. Suppose $f \in \ker(\mathcal{B}(K_{0\infty}(0, 1)))$, with components $f_1, \dots, f_6$ in the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^j \oplus \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^j \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ used in Theorem 5. Since $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix}$ is injective, there exists $\begin{bmatrix} P & Q \end{bmatrix}$ such that $PA + QA' = 1$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &:= Pf_1 + Qf_2, \\ g_3 &:= f_6, \\ g_5 &:= f_5, \\ g_4 &:= \frac{g_5 - D_2 g_1}{y}, \\ g_2 &:= Pf_3 + Qf_4 - (PC_2 + QC'_2)g_4, \end{aligned} \quad \text{and } g := \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Away from $y = 0$, we have g is mapped to f , and $g \in \ker(\mathcal{B}(K_\infty))$. To verify this last statement, the only difficulty is proving that

$$(x - B)g_1 + (A - y)g_2 + C_1 g_3 + C_2 g_4 = 0.$$

The trick is to prove that A and A' times the left-hand-side of the equation is 0 and then use the injectivity of $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix}$.

Thus the sheaf Q_∞ is supported over the line $y = 0$.

In the special case $j = 0$, the components f_2 and f_4 are automatically zero. Since A is invertible, we can normalize in a neighborhood of $y = 0$ to $f_1 = 0$. Then $g_2 = f_5/y$. The only problem is when $f_5 \neq 0$. Hence $Q_\infty = \mathcal{O}_0$, as desired.

Suppose then that $j \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 7, we have a resolution

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B & C_1 e_+ \\ -B' & (x-s) + C'_1 e_+ \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_*(K_{0\infty}) \\
 \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \\ A' & C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{O}(-1)^k & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B \\ -D_2 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+1} & \longrightarrow & R^1 \pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1)).
 \end{array} \tag{41}$$

Note that $R^1 \pi_*(K_{0\infty})$ is supported on points, away from ∞ . We want to build up a resolution of the twists $R^1 \pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1))(\ell)$, and then show that $R^1 \pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1))(j)$ maps to $R^1 \pi_*(K_{0\infty})$ with kernel $\mathcal{O}$. Suppose for the moment that we have a sheaf F with resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^a \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x+\alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}^{a+1} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

The injection $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{a+1}$ in the last coordinate induces a map $s_1: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F$ which is non-zero at ∞ .

Let $s(\ell)$ and $e_+(\ell)$ be $\ell \times \ell$ and $1 \times \ell$ versions of the matrices defined by Equation (22). The sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ has resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^\ell \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-s(\ell) \\ -e_+(\ell) \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}^{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the injection $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\ell+1}$ in the first coordinate induces a map $s_2: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\ell)$.

The maps s_1 and s_2 induce naturally $\tilde{s}_1: \mathcal{O}(\ell) \rightarrow F(\ell)$ and $\tilde{s}_2: F \rightarrow F(\ell)$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} s_1 \\ -s_2 \end{bmatrix}} F \oplus \mathcal{O}(\ell) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{s}_2 & \tilde{s}_1 \end{bmatrix}} F(\ell) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using the snake lemma on the resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{a+\ell} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{a+1+\ell+1} & \longrightarrow & F \oplus \mathcal{O}(\ell) \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

we get the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{a+\ell} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x+\alpha & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & x-s(\ell) \\ 0 & -e_+(\ell) \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}^{a+\ell+1} \longrightarrow F(\ell) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Setting, as above,

$$M := \begin{bmatrix} B & -C_1 e_+ \\ \begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & s - C'_1 e_+ \end{bmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

and

$$N' := \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & M \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & M^{j-2} \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{43}$$

$$N := \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & M \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & M^{j-1} \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C'_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \tag{44}$$

(so that M, N are $(k+j) \times (k+j)$ matrices, and N' is $(k+j) \times (k+j-1)$), we build up a twist by $\mathcal{O}(j-1)$ of Diagram (41)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{x-M} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty}) \\
 N' \uparrow & & \uparrow N & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j-1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} -D_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & x-s(j-1) \\ 0 & -e_+(j-1) \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1))(j-1).
 \end{array} \tag{45}$$

The kernel $\pi_*Q(j-1)$ of the map $R^1\pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1))(j-1) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty})$ is the desired $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ if and only if the induced map N on sections is an isomorphism, hence the genericity condition we have imposed on the matrix N in our theorem above.

While Theorem 5 gives us the matrices starting from the bundles created from the knowledge of the flag and trivialisations, we just proved that the matrices give us the bundles E , K_0 , K_∞ , and $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$, from which we can extract the flag and trivialisations. We can then end this section with a theorem, summarising the passage from a bundle and flag to their associated monad.

Theorem 6 *There is an equivalence between*

- 1) *Vector bundles E of rank two on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with $c_1(E) = 0$, $c_2(E) = k$. trivialized along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\} \cup \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and with a based flag $\phi: \mathcal{O}(-j) \hookrightarrow E$ of degree j along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$ (up to non-zero scalar multiple), with the basing condition $\phi(\infty)(\mathcal{O}(-j)) = \text{span}(0, 1)$*
- 2) *Matrices A, B ($k \times k$), C ($k \times 2$), D ($2 \times k$), A' ($j \times k$), B' ($1 \times k$), C' ($j \times 2$), satisfying the monad equations (27), (28), (29) and the genericity conditions (30), (31), (32), (33) modulo the action of $Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$ given by Equation (36).*

3 Normal forms: the proof of Theorem 5.

We now prove Theorem 5 of page 8. To do so, we normalize the monads given by Theorem 4 so that in the end they are defined only up to a $Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$ action. To simplify the notation given by Equation (20), set

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_i &:= V_i(E), & W_i &:= V_i(K_0), \\
 \overline{W}_i &:= V_i(K_\infty), & \text{and} & \quad \overline{\overline{W}}_i := V_i(K_{0\infty}(0, 1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We first normalize the monads for E . From Lemma 5, we know that if

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 y + \alpha_0 \\ \beta_1 x + \beta_0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 x + \mu_0 & \nu_1 y + \nu_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then α_1 is an isomorphism, β_1 is injective and ν_1 is surjective. We also know that μ_1 is injective, and since $k_2 = k = k_4$, it must be an isomorphism. From Lemma 5, we also have that $V_3 = \beta_1(V_1) \oplus \ker(\nu_1)$.

Given any basis of V_1 and $\ker(\nu_1)$, we can pick bases of $V_2 = \alpha_1(V_1)$, $\beta_1(V_1)$ and $V_4 = \mu_1\alpha_1(V_1)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1 &= -1_{k \times k}, & \mu_1 &= 1_{k \times k}, \\
 \beta_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1_{k \times k} \\ 0_{r \times k} \end{bmatrix}, & \nu_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times r} \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\mathcal{A}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} A - y \\ B - x \\ D \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} x + \mu_0 & \nu_{00} - y & C \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $0 = \mathcal{B}(E)\mathcal{A}(E) = (\mu_0 A + \nu_{00} B + CD) + (A - \nu_{00})x - (\mu_0 + B)y$, we must have $\mu_0 = -B$, $\nu_{00} = A$, and $[A, B] + CD = 0$.

Notice that we did not use all the freedom we were given by Remark 1 of page 8: the basis of $\mathbb{C}^k = V_1$ is still totally arbitrary. However, the basis of $\mathbb{C}^2 = \ker(\nu_1)$ is induced by the trivialization of E along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. The residual freedom is $Gl(k)$.

Let us continue with K_0 , and normalize its monad. Some of the normalization is inherited from that of E . We know the inclusion $K_0 \rightarrow E$ gives surjections $\Phi_i: W_i \rightarrow V_i$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W_1 & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^0 y + \alpha_0^0 \\ \beta_1^0 x + \beta_0^0 \end{bmatrix}} & W_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1^0 x + \mu_0^0 & \nu_1^0 y + \nu_0^0 \end{bmatrix}} & W_4 \\
 \otimes & & \oplus & & \otimes \\
 \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & & W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} & & \mathcal{O}(0, 1) \\
 \Phi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{23} = \Phi_2 \oplus \Phi_3 & & \Phi_4 \downarrow \\
 V_1 & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} A - y \\ B - x \\ D \end{bmatrix}} & V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1, 1) & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x - B & A - y & C \end{bmatrix}} & V_4 \\
 \otimes & & \oplus & & \otimes \\
 \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & & V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} & & \mathcal{O}(0, 1).
 \end{array} \tag{46}$$

From the coefficient of xy in the monad equations for K_0 and E , we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1^0} & W_4 \\
 & \nearrow \alpha_1 & \downarrow \Phi_2 & \nearrow -\nu_1^0 & \downarrow \Phi_4 \\
 W_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1^0} & W_3 & & \\
 \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \downarrow \Phi_3 & & \\
 V_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & V_3 & & \\
 & \nearrow \alpha_1 & \downarrow \mu_1 & \nearrow -\nu_1 & \\
 & & V_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & V_4
 \end{array}$$

Let $\bar{V}_1$ be a copy of V_1 in W_1 so that $\Phi_1|_{\bar{V}_1}$ is an isomorphism. Set

$$Z_i := \ker(\Phi_i).$$

We have

$$W_1 = \bar{V}_1 \oplus Z_1, \tag{47}$$

$$W_2 = \alpha_1^0(\bar{V}_1) \oplus Z_2. \tag{48}$$

From Lemma 5, α_1^0 is an isomorphism, β_1^0 and μ_1^0 are injective, and ν_1^0 is surjective. While $\nu_1 \beta_1$ is an isomorphism, hence $V_3 = \text{Im}(\beta_1) \oplus \ker(\nu_1)$, at the level of K_0 , we still have $\ker(\nu_1^0) \cap \text{Im}(\beta_1^0) = \{0\}$ but the direct sum doesn't fill all of W_3 . Note that $\beta_1^0(\ker \Phi_1) \subset \ker(\Phi_3)$. But more important is that $\beta_1^0(\bar{V}_1) \cap \ker(\Phi_3) = \{0\}$ because β_1 is injective.

Restrict the monads in Diagram (46) to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$. From the display of those monads, we get information about the various Φ_i . First, from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \ker(\mathcal{B}(K_0|)) \rightarrow K_0| \rightarrow 0$ and its equivalent for E , we find in cohomology that the map $H^0(\ker(\mathcal{B}(K_0|))) \rightarrow H^0(\ker(\mathcal{B}(E|)))$ is injective because it is exactly the map $H^0(K_0|) \rightarrow H^0(E|)$. But from the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\mathcal{B}(K_0|)) \rightarrow W_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus W_3 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow W_4 \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

and its equivalent for E , we get the identifications $H^0(\ker(\mathcal{B}(K_0|))) = \ker(\nu_1^0)$ and $H^0(\ker(\mathcal{B}(E|))) = \ker(\nu_1)$ compatible with the Φ_i , it must be that the restriction of Φ_3 gives an isomorphism $\ker(\nu_1^0) \rightarrow \ker(\nu_1)$.

Let $L \subset Z_3$ be a one-dimensional complement to $\beta_1^0(Z_1)$ in Z_3 . Then

$$W_3 = \beta_1^0(\bar{V}_1) \oplus \beta_1^0(Z_1) \oplus \ker(\nu_1^0) \oplus L. \quad (49)$$

Note that again, $\mu_1^0(Z_2) \subset Z_4$. Thus

$$W_4 = \mu_1^0 \alpha_1^0(\bar{V}_1) \oplus \mu_1^0(Z_2) \oplus \nu_1^0(L). \quad (50)$$

The basis we have for the V_i can be lifted to induce basis of $\bar{V}_1$, $\alpha_1^0(\bar{V}_1)$, $\beta_1^0(\bar{V}_1)$, $\mu_1^0 \alpha_1^0(\bar{V}_1)$ and $\ker(\nu_1^0)$. We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= [1_{k \times k} \quad 0_{k \times j}] = \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times j} & 0_{k \times 2} & 0_{k \times 1} \\ 0_{2 \times k} & 0_{2 \times j} & 1_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \Phi_4 = [1_{k \times k} \quad 0_{k \times j} \quad 0_{k \times 1}], \end{aligned}$$

as in Diagram (37).

Given any basis for Z_1 and L , we can pick basis of Z_2 , $\beta_1^0(Z_1)$, and $\mu_1^0(Z_1)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \nu_1^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of x and y in the monad equation for K_0 and the commutativity of diagram (46) force

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ A' & \alpha_{01}^0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mu_0^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -B & 0 \\ \mu_{01}^0 & \mu_{02}^0 \\ \mu_{03}^0 & \mu_{04}^0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \beta_0^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ -\mu_{01}^0 & -\mu_{02}^0 \\ D & 0 \\ -\mu_{03}^0 & -\mu_{04}^0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \nu_0^0 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & C & 0 \\ A' & \alpha_{01}^0 & C' & \nu_{01}^0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_{02}^0 & \nu_{03}^0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Restrict K_0 and E to $y = \epsilon \neq 0$, take duals and tensor by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. We have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W_4^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) & \longrightarrow & W_3^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus W_2^* \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & W_1^* \otimes \mathcal{O} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V_4^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) & \longrightarrow & V_3^* \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus V_2^* \otimes \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & V_1^* \otimes \mathcal{O}. \end{array}$$

The isomorphism $E^*(-1) \rightarrow K_0^*(-1)$ is mediated by the Φ_i^* . From the display of the monads, we have $H^i(\ker \mathcal{B}^*(K_0)) = H^i(K_0^*(-1))$, and similarly for E . Hence we have the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H^0(K_0^*(-1)) & \rightarrow & W_2^* & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} A^* - \epsilon & A'^* \\ 0 & \alpha_{01}^{0*} - \epsilon \end{bmatrix}} & W_1^* & \rightarrow & H^1(K_0^*(-1)) \rightarrow 0 \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \Phi_2^* & & \uparrow \Phi_1^* & & \uparrow \cong \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(E^*(-1)) & \rightarrow & V_2^* & \xrightarrow{A^* - \epsilon} & V_1^* & \rightarrow & H^1(E^*(-1)) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

hence

$$\ker \begin{bmatrix} A - \epsilon & 0 \\ A' & \alpha_{01}^0 - \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \ker(A - \epsilon)$$

is an isomorphism through Φ_1 . There can therefore be no kernel for $\alpha_{01}^0 - \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon \neq 0$. Hence $\alpha_{01}^0 = 0$. Now, restricted to $y = 0$, the sequence $0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_0/E_{0+} \rightarrow 0$ becomes, for some T ,

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow K_0| \rightarrow E| \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $c_1(K_0|) = 0$, we have $K_0| = \mathcal{O}(m) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-m)$. The exact sequence forces $T = \mathcal{O}(j)$. Then the injection $\mathcal{O}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(m) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-m)$ forces $m = j$, as seen in Remark 2 on page 11.

From the monad of $K_0|^*(-1)$,

$$\mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes W_4^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes W_2^* \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes W_3^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^*} \mathcal{O} \otimes W_1^*,$$

we find that

$$\mathbb{C}^j = H^1(K_0|^*(-1)) = H^1(\ker(\mathcal{A}^*)) = \text{coker} \begin{bmatrix} A^* & A'^* \\ 0 & \alpha_{01}^0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus it must be that

$$\dim \ker \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ A' & \alpha_{01}^0 \end{bmatrix} = j,$$

whence

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} \text{ is injective.} \quad (51)$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(K_0)$ is injective for all $(x, y) = (x, 0)$, it must be that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{02}^0 + x \\ \mu_{04}^0 \end{bmatrix} : Z_1 \rightarrow \alpha_1^0(Z_1) \oplus L$$

is injective for all x . Owing to Lemma (8) below, we can then choose a basis of Z_1 and $\alpha_1^0(Z_1) \oplus L$ such that $\mu_{02}^0 = -s$ and $\mu_{04}^0 = -e_+$; recall Equation (22).

Lemma 8 (Cyclicity) Suppose $\begin{bmatrix} T - \lambda \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ is an injective map $\mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ for all λ . Then

$$(vT^{d-1}, \dots, vT, v)$$

is a basis for $\mathbb{C}^d$.

Proof: The result is invariant under conjugation $(T, v) \mapsto (PTP^{-1}, vP^{-1})$ and translation $(T, v) \mapsto (T - \lambda, v)$, hence we only need to prove it for a matrix T in Jordan normal form, with one eigenvalue zero. In fact, the injectivity hypothesis forces all the blocks to have different eigenvalues. We can then finish the proof by induction on the number of Jordan blocks. $\square$

Now that $\mu_{02}^0 = -s$ and $\mu_{04}^0 = -e_+$, we can perform elementary column operations on β_0^0 to kill all but the first line B' of $-\mu_{01}^0$ and all of $-\mu_{03}^0$. Such column operations correspond to right multiplying by a matrix of the type

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \bar{V}_1 \oplus Z_1 \rightarrow \bar{V}_1 \oplus Z_1,$$

hence a repositioning of $\bar{V}_1$ in W_1 , while keeping Z_1 fixed.

Consider now the constant term of $\mathcal{B}(K_0)\mathcal{A}(K_0) = 0$. Due to the splitting of W_1 and W_4 , we find six equations, three being Equations (27), (28), and (29), one being tautologically 0, and the remaining two being

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nu_{02}^0 e_+ : Z_1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mu_0^0(Z_2), \\ 0 &= \nu_{04}^0 e_+ : Z_1 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \nu_1^0(L). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\nu_{02}^0 = 0$ and $\nu_{04}^0 = 0$. Once we consider that the flag at $(\infty, 0)$ lives in the second vector of the trivialization, we have $\nu_{03}^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ in the appropriate basis.

We thus reduced the symmetries enough to establish the validity of Equation (24), and of the fourth row of vertical maps in Diagram (37). Thus the monad for K_0 is as advertised, and the residual symmetry is $Gl(k)$, isomorphic to the symmetry of the monad for E .

Let us now continue with K_∞ , with an obvious translate in the notation. The problem is simplified as $\bar{Z}_1 = \bar{Z}_2 = \{0\}$, and $\dim \bar{Z}_3 = \dim \bar{Z}_4 = 1$. We thus have, for some lift F of $\ker(\nu_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{W}_2 &= \alpha_1^\infty(\bar{W}_1), \\ \bar{W}_3 &= \beta_1^\infty(\bar{W}_1) \oplus F \oplus \bar{Z}_3, \\ \bar{W}_4 &= \mu_1^\infty \alpha_1^\infty(\bar{W}_1) \oplus \bar{Z}_4.\end{aligned}$$

Note that contrary to what happened for K_0 , we cannot choose F to be $\ker(\nu_1^\infty)$, as it contains $\bar{Z}_3$. Indeed, the exact sequence (2) for K_∞ , restricted to $y = \infty$, becomes $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow K_\infty| \rightarrow E| \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$, whence the map $H^0(K_0|) \rightarrow H^0(E|)$ has a one-dimensional kernel. Going through the same analysis as before, where $H^0(K_0|) = \ker(\nu_1^\infty)$ and $H^0(E|) = \ker(\nu_1)$, we see that $\bar{\Phi}_3$ restricted to $\ker(\nu_1^\infty)$ has a one-dimensional kernel, $\bar{Z}_3$ itself, as claimed.

We lift the basis of the V_i to induce basis on all those pieces of the $\bar{W}_i$. We can then write

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}_1 &= 1_k & \bar{\Phi}_2 &= 1_k \\ \bar{\Phi}_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \bar{\Phi}_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_k & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \alpha_1^\infty &= -1_k & \beta_1^\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} -1_k \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \mu_1^\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} 1_k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \nu_1^\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} -1_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [0 & -1] & 0 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

The commutativity of a diagram for K_∞ analogous to Diagram (46) and the coefficients of x and y in the monad equation $\mathcal{B}(K_\infty)\mathcal{A}(K_\infty) = 0$ ensure

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0^\infty &= A, \quad \mu_0^\infty = \begin{bmatrix} -B \\ -D_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_0^\infty = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ D \\ \beta_{01}^\infty \end{bmatrix}, \\ \nu_0^\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} A & C & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_{01}^\infty & \nu_{02}^\infty \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Restricting the map $K_\infty \rightarrow E$ at $y = 0$, where it is an isomorphism, we have at the level of the cohomology of the monads that projection on the first two factors must be an isomorphism

$$\ker \begin{bmatrix} A & C & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_{01}^\infty & \nu_{02}^\infty \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \ker [A \quad C].$$

For the projection to be an isomorphism, it must be that $\nu_{02}^\infty \neq 0$, and by choosing the basis of $\bar{Z}_3$ and $\bar{Z}_4$, we can have $\nu_{02}^\infty = 1$. With column operations, we can kill ν_{01}^∞ , hence repositioning F inside $\bar{W}_3$. The constant term of the monad equation then implies $\beta_{01} = D_2 A$.

We thus established the validity of Equation (25), and the third row of vertical maps in Diagram (37). Thus the monad for K_∞ is as announced, with the same residual $Gl(k)$ symmetry.

Let us continue with $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$. Notice that $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ is to K_0 what E is to K_∞ . Indeed, in a small neighborhood U intersecting $y = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}E(U) &= \mathcal{O}(U) \oplus \mathcal{O}(U), \text{ and } K_\infty(U) = \frac{\mathcal{O}(U)}{y} \oplus \mathcal{O}(U), \quad \text{while} \\ K_{0\infty}(0, 1)(U) &= y\mathcal{O}(U) \oplus \mathcal{O}(U), \text{ and } K_0(U) = \frac{y\mathcal{O}(U)}{y} \oplus \mathcal{O}(U).\end{aligned}$$

Also, $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ is trivial on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\} \cup \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and has a choice of a flag in the section at ∞ .

We can then use the monad of K_0 to extract the monad of $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$, once however we normalize it correctly. Staring at the monad given by Equation (25), we see we want an expression for K_0 of the type

$$\mathcal{A}_2(K_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} - y \\ \tilde{B} - x \\ \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{D}_2 \tilde{A} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2(K_0) = \begin{bmatrix} x - \tilde{B} & \tilde{A} - y & \tilde{C} & 0 \\ -\tilde{D}_2 & 0 & [0 & -y] & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To get an expression of this type, we set

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -C_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -C'_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & C'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2(K_0) := Q^{-1} \mathcal{A}(K_0), \quad \mathcal{B}_2(K_0) := P \mathcal{B}(K_0) Q.$$

Now deleting the last row and column of $\mathcal{B}_2(K_0)$ and the last row of $\mathcal{A}_2(K_0)$, we obtain the monad of Equation (26), and establish the validity of the first row of vertical maps in Diagram (37).

The only part of Theorem 5 that remains to be proved is the validity of the second row of vertical maps in Diagram (37). Notice the map from sections of K_∞ to sections $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ is multiplication by y , as $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$ equals $K_{0\infty}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$, not $K_{0\infty}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\})$.

On the dense set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid y \neq 0 \text{ and } (A - y) \text{ is invertible}\}$, we can trivialize the bundles by sending $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2$ to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -(A - y)^{-1} C \gamma \\ \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -(A - y)^{-1} C \gamma \\ \gamma \\ y \gamma_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -(A - y)^{-1} C \gamma \\ -y^{-1} A' (A - y)^{-1} C \gamma + y^{-1} C' \gamma \\ \gamma \\ y^{-1} \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -(A - y)^{-1} (y^{-1} A C_1 \gamma_1 + C_2 \gamma_2) \\ y^{-1} (y^{-1} A' C_1 \gamma_1 + C'_2 \gamma_2) - y^{-1} A' (A - y)^{-1} (A C_1 \gamma_1 y^{-1} + C_2 \gamma_2) \\ \gamma_2 \\ y^{-1} \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively for E , K_∞ , K_0 , and $K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$. This choice of trivialization is preserved by the various Φ_{23} of Diagram (37) whose validity we already established. For the proposed Φ_{23} of $K_\infty \rightarrow K_{0\infty}(0, 1)$, we have, using an obvious notation,

$$\Phi_{23}(\gamma^{K_\infty}) = y \gamma^{K_{0\infty}(0, 1)}.$$

Since the candidate Φ_{23} is globally defined and agrees with the actual Φ_{23} on a dense subset of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, its validity is established. The commutativity of the diagram forces Φ_1 and Φ_4 to be as claimed in the second row of Diagram (37).

The genericity conditions are simply those for monads implied by Buchdahl's Beilinson's theorem, along with the constraint on the matrix N which was proven in the previous section.

The proof of Theorem 5 is now complete.

4 From monads to sequences of sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and back again.

We show in this section how Diagram (6), encoding the bundle E and the flag, with the trivialization over $y = \infty$, leads one to a Nahm complex, and inversely how the Nahm complex encodes the diagram. We already have that the diagram gives the monads of Theorem 5 and morphisms between them, and conversely, monads of our normalized form give back the diagram of bundles. It thus suffices to show how our monad matrices encode, and are encoded by, a Nahm complex.

The intermediary step we introduce are the exact sequences (7) of Lemma 1. More specifically, set

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &:= R^1\pi_*(K_0(0, -1)), \\ P_\infty &:= R^1\pi_*(K_\infty(0, -1)), \\ Q_{\infty 0} &:= R^1\pi_*(E(0, -1)), \\ Q_{0\infty} &:= R^1\pi_*(K_{0\infty}). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Those exact sequences can now be read

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(j) \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow Q_{\infty 0} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow P_\infty \rightarrow Q_{\infty 0} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow Q_{0\infty} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-j) \rightarrow P_\infty \rightarrow Q_{0\infty} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

with $Q_{0\infty}, Q_{\infty 0}$ torsion sheaves of length $k+j, k$ respectively, supported away from infinity, while P_0, P_∞ are trivialized over infinity on the line.

We note that the sheaves come with resolutions, as given by Lemma 7. From Theorem 5, in the case $j \geq 1$, we get a diagram of resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -C_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -C'_1 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B & C_1e_+ \\ [-B'] & (x-s)+C'_1e_+ \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q_{0\infty} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \\ A' & C'_2 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathcal{O}(-1)^k & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B \\ -D_2 \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_\infty & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{O}(-1)^k & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Q_{\infty 0} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathcal{O}(-1)^{k+j} & \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 \\ [-B'] & x-s \\ 0 & -e_+ \end{bmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}^{k+j+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{54}$$

The next step is to prove that these resolutions always exist in this form, given the sheaves fitting into Sequences (53).

Lemma 9 *Let $j \geq 1$ and let $P_0, P_\infty, Q_{0\infty}, Q_{\infty 0}$ be sheaves over $\mathbb{P}^1$ fitting into exact sequences (53), with $Q_{0\infty}, Q_{\infty 0}$ torsion of length $k+j, k$ respectively, supported away from infinity in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then one has a commuting diagram of resolutions as Diagram (54). Furthermore, the matrix N defined by Equation (44) using the matrices of the diagram is an isomorphism.*

Proof: If one takes the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1, -1) \xrightarrow{x-y} \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \longrightarrow 0,$$

of the diagonal in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, lifts a sheaf F from $\mathbb{P}^1$, tensors it with the resolution, and pushes down to the other factor, one obtains a resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, F(-1)) \\ \otimes \\ \mathcal{O}(-1) \end{array} \xrightarrow[\substack{[f] \otimes g \mapsto [f] \otimes xg - [yf] \otimes g}]{\alpha_0(F) + \alpha_1(F)x} \begin{array}{c} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, F) \\ \otimes \\ \mathcal{O} \end{array} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0,$$

as long as $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, F(-1))$ vanishes, which is the case for our sheaves. Taking the sheaves and the maps $P_0 \rightarrow Q_{0\infty} \leftarrow P_\infty \rightarrow Q_{0\infty} \leftarrow P_0$ and applying this process to them, we obtain a diagram akin to Diagram (54), with the right sheaves. One must show that the maps can be normalized as advertised.

We first note that as $Q_{\infty 0}$ is supported away from infinity, one can identify

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}(-1)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0})$$

and normalize $x\alpha_1(Q_{\infty 0}) + \alpha_0(Q_{\infty 0})$ to $x - B$, for some B . The fact that P_0, P_∞ are line bundles at infinity allow us to filter their sections by order of vanishing at infinity. We can split $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(-1))$, and $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0)$ as sums

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(j-1)), \text{ and } H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(j)),$$

with the second summands being the kernels of projection to $Q_{\infty 0}$, and the first identifying $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0})$ with the subspace of sections of $P_0(-1)$, P_0 vanishing at least to order $j, j+1$ at infinity. The spaces $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\ell))$ have natural bases of sections $1, \dots, y^\ell$ in terms of which the resolution naturally gets expressed in terms of the shift matrix s . Finally, for a class $[f] \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(-1))$, we have $[yf] \notin \text{Im}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(j-1)) \xrightarrow{y} H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(j)))$, hence the need for the matrix B' . The last two lines of the diagram, and the maps between them, are then as advertised.

Similarly for P_∞ , we can write $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty(-1))$, and $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty)$ as

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}), \text{ and } H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \oplus H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}),$$

and show that the maps of the third row and between the third and fourth row of the diagram is of the form given for some row vector D_2 .

We then have isomorphisms $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(-1)) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}(-1))$ showing us that we can take the map between the first elements of the first and second rows to be the identity. The isomorphism $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}(-1)) \simeq H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty})$ shows us that we can normalize $x\alpha_1(Q_{0\infty}) + \alpha_0(Q_{0\infty})$ to $x - \tilde{B}$, for some $\tilde{B}$. The commutativity of the diagrams then tells us that $\tilde{B}$ is of the form given, and that the remaining maps are also of the form given, for suitable $C_1, C'_1, C_2, C'_2, A, A'$.

Use the monad equation (27) to set $D_1 = e_+ A'$. The commutativity of Diagram (54) implies the monad equations (27) and (28).

Finally, the fact that N is an isomorphism follows from the fact that the map $P_\infty(j) \rightarrow Q_{0\infty}$ must induce an isomorphism on sections, as in Diagram (45). The proof is now complete. $\square$

The genericity conditions on the matrices are equivalent to some non-degeneracy conditions on the sheaves of Equation (52). We first note that one of the genericity conditions on the matrices is automatic if they come from our sheaves. Indeed, if the condition (32) is not satisfied (here $j > 0$), we have that for some x ,

$$\text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} x-B & A & C & 0 \\ -B' & A' & C' & x-s \\ 0 & 0 & [1 \ 0] & -e_+ \end{bmatrix} \subset V \quad (55)$$

with V a proper codimension one subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k+j+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im} \begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 \\ -B' & x-s \\ 0 & -e_+ \end{bmatrix} &\subset V, \quad \operatorname{Im} \begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \\ A' & C_2' \end{bmatrix} \subset (V \cap \mathbb{C}^{k+j}), \\ \operatorname{Im} \begin{bmatrix} x-B & C_1 e_+ \\ -B' & x-s+C_1' e_+ \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix} &= \operatorname{Im} \left(\left(1 - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_1 \\ 0 & 0 & C_1' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} x-B & 0 \\ -B' & x-s \\ 0 & -e_+ \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\subset V \cap \mathbb{C}^{k+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by replacing the spaces in the second column by $V, V \cap \mathbb{C}^{k+j}, \mathbb{C}^{k+1}, \mathbb{C}^k$, and V , we can “reduce” the diagram at x : there are subsheaves $\tilde{P}_0, \tilde{Q}_{0\infty}$ which, together with P_∞ and $Q_{\infty 0}$, fit in a variation of Sequences (53) for which j is replaced by $j-1$, and giving as quotients of $P_0, Q_{0\infty}, P_\infty, Q_{\infty 0}$ the sheaves $\mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x, 0, 0$. In particular, the map $P_\infty \rightarrow Q_{0\infty}$ is not surjective and we have left our class of sheaves.

The remaining conditions on our matrices do both translate into irreducibility for our diagram. Let us say that the “complex” of sheaves is *reducible* at x if either

- *Case 1.* There are skyscraper subsheaves $\mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x$ of $P_0, Q_{0\infty}, P_\infty, Q_{\infty 0}$, localized at x , mapping to each other by Sequences (53).
- *Case 2.* There are subsheaves $\tilde{P}_0, \tilde{Q}_{0\infty}, \tilde{P}_\infty, \tilde{Q}_{\infty 0}$ of $P_0, Q_{0\infty}, P_\infty, Q_{\infty 0}$, fitting in Sequences (53), and giving as quotients the sheaves $\mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x, \mathbb{C}_x$.

Translated to the world of resolutions, we can say that Diagram (54) is *reducible* at x if either

- *Case 1.* There are, restricting at x so that we are dealing with vector spaces, one dimensional subspaces $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5 = V_1$ of the spaces in the first column, (the subscript indicates the row) that lie in the kernel of the maps from the first column to the second and that are mapped to each other under the vertical maps; the spaces in the first columns can then be replaced by quotients, giving a “smaller” diagram;
- *Case 2.* There are, restricting at x , codimension one subspaces $V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4, V_5 = V_1$ of the spaces in the second column, containing the images of the maps between the first and second column, and mapped to each other under the vertical maps, so that the diagram can then be “reduced” to a smaller one.

We remark that it suffices to take dimension one or codimension one subspaces; other cases are reducible to this one, as can easily be checked.

We can then see that the genericity conditions (30), (31) on the matrices are equivalent to Diagram (54) being irreducible at all x .

- *Case 1.* Suppose there exists a one-dimensional subspace $L \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that

$$L \subset \ker \begin{bmatrix} A-y \\ B-x \\ D \end{bmatrix} \tag{56}$$

for some x and y . The monad equations then imply

$$\begin{aligned} (B-x)(L) &= 0, & \left(\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} A + (s-x)A' \right)(L) &= 0, \\ D_2(L) &= 0, & A(L) &\subset L, \\ D_1(L) &= e_+ A'(L) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there are subspaces $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} L$, $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} L$, L , L , $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} L$ of the kernels in all the exact sequences in Diagram (54), which are mapped to each other under the vertical maps. We can reduce the diagram at x .

- *Case 2.* Suppose that for some x and y ,

$$\text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} x - B & A - y & C \end{bmatrix} \subset V, \quad (57)$$

with V a proper codimension one subspace of $\mathbb{C}^k$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(x - B) &\subset V, & \text{Im}(C_1) &\subset V, \\ A(V) &\subset V, & \text{Im}(C_2) &\subset V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by replacing the spaces in the second column by $V \oplus \mathbb{C}^{j+1}$, $V \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$, $V \oplus \mathbb{C}$, V , and $V \oplus \mathbb{C}^{j+1}$, we can again reduce the diagram at x

Conversely, if the diagram is reducible at x , with a common kernel through the diagram, one find an L as in Equation (56).

If the diagram is reducible at x , not with a common kernel, but with a common one-dimensional cokernel, we have at x codimension one subspaces V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 of the spaces in the second column, mapped to each other under the vertical maps and containing the images of the horizontal maps. Because $\text{codim} V_4 = 1$, there must be a line $W \subset \mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ so that $V_3 \oplus W = \mathbb{C}^{k+1}$ and $V_4 \oplus W = \mathbb{C}^k$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} V_1 \subset V_4$, we must have $V_1 = V_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{j+1}$. To have $\text{codim} V_2 = 1$, we must then have $V_2 = V_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}^j$. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \\ A' & C'_2 \end{bmatrix} (V_3) \subset V_2,$$

we have $\begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \end{bmatrix} (V_3) \subset V_4$. In the decomposition $V_4 \oplus W \rightarrow V_3 \oplus W$ we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $y \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} A - y & C_2 \end{bmatrix} \subset V_4$. Since V_2 contains the image of the second horizontal map, we must have as well $\text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} x - B & C_1 \end{bmatrix} \subset V_4$. But then

$$\text{Im} \begin{bmatrix} A - y & x - B & C \end{bmatrix} \subset V_4 \neq C^k,$$

hence the non-degeneracy condition (31) is not satisfied.

Theorem 7 *There is an equivalence between*

1) *Matrices A, B ($k \times k$), C ($k \times 2$), D ($2 \times k$), A' ($j \times k$), B' ($1 \times k$), C' ($j \times 2$), satisfying the monad equations (27), (28), (29), and the genericity conditions (30), (31), (32), (33) of page 9, modulo the action of $Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$ given by Equation (36);*

2) *Exact sequences of sheaves*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(j) & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & Q_{\infty 0} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & P_{\infty} & \rightarrow & Q_{\infty 0} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & Q_{0\infty} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-j) & \rightarrow & P_{\infty} & \rightarrow & Q_{0\infty} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (58)$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$, with $Q_{0\infty}, Q_{\infty 0}$ torsion sheaves of length $k+j, k$ respectively, supported away from infinity, and with P_0, P_{∞} trivialized over infinity on the line, and irreducible.

5 To Nahm complexes, and back again.

We next show that the sheaves fitting in the exact sequences (58) define, and are defined by, a Nahm complex on the circle. We define these for the integers $k > 0$, $j \geq 0$,

If $j > 0$, the Nahm complexes that we consider over the circle are defined by

- A bundle $V_{\infty 0}$ of rank k over the interval $[\theta_0, \theta_\infty]$, equipped with a smooth connection $\alpha_{\infty 0}$, and a covariant constant smooth section $\beta_{\infty 0}$ of $\text{End}(V_{\infty 0})$;
- A bundle $V_{0\infty}$ of rank $k + j$ over the interval $[\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0]$, equipped with an smooth connection $\alpha_{0\infty}$ on the interior, analytic near the boundary points, and a covariant constant section $\beta_{0\infty}$ of $\text{End}(V_{0\infty})$ smooth on the interior, analytic near the boundary points;
- At the boundary point θ_0 , an injection $i_0: V_{\infty 0} \rightarrow V_{0\infty}$ and a surjection $\pi_0: V_{0\infty} \rightarrow V_{\infty 0}$, such that $\pi_0 i_0 = \text{Id}$, so that one can decompose $V_{0\infty}$ as $\ker(\pi_0) \oplus \text{Im}(i_0)$. One asks that there be an extension of this decomposition to a trivialization on the interior of the interval such that one can write the connection $\alpha_{0\infty}$ and the endomorphism $\beta_{0\infty}$ in block form as

$$\alpha_{0\infty}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} U(t) & t^{\frac{j-1}{2}} W(t) \\ t^{\frac{j-1}{2}} V(t) & X(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_{0\infty}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} P(t) & t^{\frac{j-1}{2}} Q(t) \\ t^{\frac{j-1}{2}} R(t) & S(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

where t is a local parameter with the point θ_0 corresponding to $t = 0$. The top left blocks are $k \times k$, the bottom right block is $j \times j$; U, W, V, P, Q, R are analytic at $t = 0$, and X, S are meromorphic with simple poles at $t = 0$, and residues conjugate to

$$X_{-1} = \text{diag}\left(\frac{-(j-1)}{4}, \frac{2-(j-1)}{4}, \dots, \frac{(j-1)}{4}\right), \quad (59)$$

$$S_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

Furthermore,

$$U(0) = \alpha_{\infty 0}(0) \quad P(0) = \beta_{\infty 0}$$

- At the boundary point θ_∞ , the boundary conditions are the same as at θ_0 .
- At both boundary points, some extra data, consisting of a trivialization (choice of vectors v_0, v_∞) of the $\frac{-(j-1)}{4}$ eigenspace of X_{-1} .

For $j = 0$, the constraints are simpler: the Nahm complexes over the circle that we consider are defined by

- A bundle $V_{\infty 0}$ of rank k over the interval $[\theta_0, \theta_\infty]$, equipped with a smooth connection $\alpha_{\infty 0}$, and a covariant constant smooth section $\beta_{\infty 0}$ of $\text{End}(V_{\infty 0})$;
- A bundle $V_{0\infty}$ of rank k over the interval $[\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0]$, equipped with an smooth connection $\alpha_{0\infty}$ and a covariant constant smooth section $\beta_{0\infty}$ of $\text{End}(V_{0\infty})$;
- At the boundary point θ_0 , isomorphisms $i_0: V_{\infty 0} \rightarrow V_{0\infty}$, $\pi_0 = i_0^{-1}$ with the gluing condition that $\beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_0 \beta_{0\infty} i_0$ has rank one.
- At the boundary point θ_∞ , isomorphisms $i_\infty: V_{\infty 0} \rightarrow V_{0\infty}$, $\pi_\infty = i_\infty^{-1}$ with the gluing condition that $\beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_\infty \beta_{0\infty} i_\infty$ has rank one.

- At both boundary points, extra data consisting of decompositions $v_0 = (u_0, w_0)$, $v_\infty = (u_\infty, w_\infty)$ of the rank one boundary difference matrices $\beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_0 \beta_{0\infty} i_0$, $\beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_\infty \beta_{0\infty} i_\infty$ into products of a column and a row vector:

$$\beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_0 \beta_{0\infty} i_0 = u_0 \cdot w_0, \quad \beta_{\infty 0} - \pi_\infty \beta_{0\infty} i_\infty = u_\infty \cdot w_\infty \quad (61)$$

There is a group $\mathcal{G}$ of gauge transformations which acts on the complex and that can be used to normalize the complex as in the lemma below. This group is constructed as follow: one takes smooth $g_{\infty 0}(z) \in \text{Aut}(V_{\infty 0})$ on $[\theta_0, \theta_\infty]$, $g_{0\infty}(z) \in \text{Aut}(V_{0\infty})$ on $[\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0]$ with, on the “large” side of the boundary points, in the trivialisations used above, the constraint that $g_{0\infty}(z)$ be analytic, with a decomposition

$$g_{0\infty}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} K(t) & t^{\frac{i+1}{2}} L(t) \\ t^{\frac{i+1}{2}} M(t) & N(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

with K, L, M, N analytic at $t = 0$, and $K(0) = g_{\infty 0}(0)$. The group $\mathcal{G}$ acts as

$$g \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = (g\alpha g^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}g^{-1}, g\beta g^{-1}).$$

Lemma 10 (Prop 1.15 of [17]) • *Away from the boundary points, or even at the boundary points, if one is on $V_{\infty 0}$, one can gauge to $\alpha = 0, \beta = \text{constant}$.*

- *At the boundary, over $V_{0\infty}$, one can gauge transform to the block form*

$$\alpha_{0\infty} = \frac{1}{t} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}(\frac{-(j-1)}{4}, \frac{2-(j-1)}{4}, \dots, \frac{(j-1)}{4}) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

$$\beta_{0\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & t^{\frac{i-1}{2}} q_0 e_+ \\ t^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & -t^{-1}s + t^{j-1} \tilde{s}_0 e_+ \end{bmatrix} \quad (63)$$

Here P_0 is $k \times k$, r_0 is $1 \times k$, q_0 is $k \times 1$, $\tilde{s}_0$ is $j \times 1$ and P_0, r_0, q_0 are constant in t , and, setting $(\tilde{s}_0)_i = t^{i-1}(s_0)_i$, then s_0 is also constant in t .

- *Using the gauge transformation*

$$G(t) := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, t^{(-j+1)/2}, t^{(-j+3)/2}, \dots, t^{(j-1)/2}),$$

(which does not lie in our gauge group), we transform further to $\alpha_{0\infty} = 0$, and

$$\beta_{0\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} P_0 & q_0 e_+ \\ \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & -s + s_0 e_+ \end{bmatrix} \quad (64)$$

These normal forms are unique up to the action of $Gl(k, \mathbb{C})$, if in addition one asks that the “extra data” vector v be mapped to the $(k+1)$ -th basis vector in the normal form.

One now must create a Nahm complex from the data of the sheaves and the exact sequences. On the interior of the first interval, we use the matrix B coming from Diagram (54) and set

$$V_{\infty 0} = (\theta_0, \theta_\infty) \times H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}), \quad \alpha_{\infty 0} = 0, \quad \beta_{\infty 0} = -B. \quad (65)$$

In the same vein, we set

$$V_{0\infty} = (\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0) \times H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}), \quad \alpha_{0\infty} = 0, \quad \beta_{0\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} -B & C_1 e_+ \\ \begin{bmatrix} -B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & -s + C'_1 e_+ \end{bmatrix} = -M. \quad (66)$$

Note that the endomorphism is already in the normal form (64) given by Lemma 10. There remains the gluing on the ends of the interval, which involves introducing some form of monodromy, as we are on a circle. The gluing will be mediated by the sheaves P_0, P_∞ .

The basic trick is that, for $n \leq m$, there are inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} f_{m,n}^0 &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(m)), \\ f_{m,n}^\infty &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty(n)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty(m)), \end{aligned}$$

as sections vanishing to an appropriate order at infinity. In addition, there are maps arising from the exact sequences (58)

$$\begin{aligned} n_\ell^0 &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(\ell)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}), \\ n_\ell^\infty &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty(\ell)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}), \\ m_\ell^0 &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_0(\ell)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}), \\ m_\ell^\infty &: H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, P_\infty(\ell)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

The maps $m_{-1}^0, n_{-j-1}^0, m_{j-1}^\infty, n_{-1}^\infty$ are isomorphisms. Schematically,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & H^0(Q_{\infty 0}) = \mathbb{C}^k \\ & \nearrow n_{-j-1}^0 & \\ H^0(P_0(-j-1)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(P_\infty(-1)) \\ \downarrow f_{-1,-j-1}^0 & & \downarrow f_{j-1,-1}^\infty \\ H^0(P_0(-1)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^0(P_\infty(j-1)) \\ & \searrow m_{-1}^0 & \\ & & H^0(Q_{0\infty}) = \mathbb{C}^{k+j}. \end{array}$$

At θ_0 , we define maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 &: V_{0\infty}(\theta_0) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}) \rightarrow V_{\infty 0}(\theta_0) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \\ i_0 &: V_{\infty 0}(\theta_0) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \rightarrow V_{0\infty}(\theta_0) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

by $i_0 = m_{-1}^0 \circ f_{-1,-j-1}^0 \circ (n_{-j-1}^0)^{-1}$, $\pi_0 = n_{-1}^0 \circ (m_{-1}^0)^{-1}$. The composition $\pi_0 \circ i_0$ is the identity. Similarly, at θ_∞ , we define maps

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\infty &: V_{0\infty}(\theta_\infty) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}) \rightarrow V_{\infty 0}(\theta_\infty) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \\ i_\infty &: V_{\infty 0}(\theta_\infty) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{\infty 0}) \rightarrow V_{0\infty}(\theta_\infty) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, Q_{0\infty}) \end{aligned}$$

by $i_\infty = m_{j-1}^\infty \circ f_{j-1,-1}^\infty \circ (n_{-1}^\infty)^{-1}$, $\pi_\infty = n_{j-1}^\infty \circ (m_{j-1}^\infty)^{-1}$. Again, $\pi_\infty \circ i_\infty$ is the identity.

In the bases used in Diagram (54), one has the block decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, & \pi_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ i_\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A' \end{bmatrix} = N \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, & \pi_\infty &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot N^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_1 \\ \tilde{C}_1' \end{bmatrix} := N^{-1} M^j \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \\ C_2' \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tilde{\beta}_{0\infty} := \begin{bmatrix} -B & \tilde{C}_1 e_+ \\ -D_2 & -s + \tilde{C}_1' e_+ \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix},$$

and then the monad equations imply

$$\beta_{0\infty} N = N \tilde{\beta}_{0\infty}.$$

We can then introduce N as the parallel transport from θ_∞ to $\theta_0 + 2\pi$ over the big side, as well as introducing the necessary poles. Indeed over the interval $(\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0)$, we begin with the complex $\alpha_{0\infty}, \beta_{0\infty}$ of Equation (66), and then gauge it with a transformation g , given by choosing a smooth path $h(\theta)$ in $Gl(k+j, \mathbb{C})$ equal to N at $\theta_\infty + 2\epsilon$ and the identity at $2\pi + \theta_0 - 2\epsilon$, and setting

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} G(\theta - \theta_\infty) \circ N^{-1}, & t \in (\theta_\infty, \theta_\infty + \epsilon), \\ h(\theta), & t \in (\theta_\infty + 2\epsilon, 2\pi + \theta_0 - 2\epsilon), \\ G(2\pi + \theta_0 - \theta)^{-1}, & t \in (2\pi + \theta_0 - \epsilon, 2\pi + \theta_0). \end{cases}$$

We then smooth g over the remaining small intervals, so that the result is C^∞ . Applying g to our Nahm complex over the interval, we obtain

$$(\gamma_{0\infty}, \delta_{0\infty}) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \dot{g} g^{-1}, g \begin{bmatrix} -B & C_1 e_+ \\ -\begin{bmatrix} B' \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & -s + C'_1 e_+ \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} \right).$$

Under the gauge transformation g , the gluing maps become

$$i_0 = i_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \pi_0 = \pi_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Nahm complex over the circle associated to the complex of sheaves is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{\infty 0}, \beta_{\infty 0}) &= (0, B), \\ (\alpha_{0\infty}, \beta_{0\infty}) &= (\gamma_{0\infty}, \delta_{0\infty}), \\ i_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \pi_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \pi_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The “extra data” vectors are obtained from the trivializations of P_0, P_∞ at infinity.

Conversely, given a Nahm complex, we can recover the matrix data, and hence the sheaves, by first gauging $\alpha_{\infty 0}$ to zero, and setting $B = \beta_{\infty 0}$. Next gauging $(\alpha_{0\infty}, \beta_{0\infty})$ to their normal form near the poles, as in the lemma above, so that $\alpha_{0\infty} = 0$ near the poles, gives the matrix data B', C_1, C'_1 from the normal form near θ_0 , and D_2 from the normal form near θ_∞ . The gauge transformation relating the two normal forms (that is the integration of the connection $\alpha_{0\infty}$ between θ_0 and θ_∞) is the matrix N defined above; from it, one can recuperate A, A', C_2, C'_2 . Finally, setting $D_1 = e_+ A'$ gives us the remaining data. The fact that the matrix N conjugates one normal form to the other then yields back the monad equations.

For $j = 0$, the correspondence is much simpler. We build our bundles, and the maps $i_0, \pi_0, i_\infty, \pi_\infty$ in the same way. The resolutions for $Q_{\infty 0}, Q_{0\infty}$ then give us matrices $B, \tilde{B}$, and it is straight forward to see that $B - i_0 \tilde{B} \pi_0, B - i_\infty \tilde{B} \pi_0$ are of rank one. In the trivialization given in the previous sections,

$$i_0 = 1, i_\infty = A.$$

We then have, as above, the rank one jumps

$$B - \tilde{B} = C_1 D_1 A^{-1}, \text{ and } B - A^{-1} \tilde{B} A = -A^{-1} C_2 D_2.$$

One defines the Nahm complex by choosing a path $g(t)$ from the identity to A , and setting

$$\alpha_{\infty 0} = 0, \beta_{\infty 0} = B, \alpha_{0\infty} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{g} g^{-1}, \beta_{0\infty} = g B g^{-1}. \quad (67)$$

Again, the trivializations of P_0, P_∞ at infinity give us the normalizations of the decompositions of the jumps as a product of a column and a row.

Conversely, from the Nahm complex, it is straightforward to extract the matrix information, and so the sheaves.

The final correspondence which must be checked is the irreducibility conditions. A Nahm complex over the circle is *reducible* if there exists a subbundle of each V_* , parallel for the α_* and invariant under the β_* , mapping to each other by the gluing maps at the boundary point, and proper on at least one interval.

Let us consider the three cases of reducibility for the complex of sheaves. Because of the way the gluing maps, the connections $\alpha_{0\infty}, \alpha_{\infty 0}$ and the endomorphisms $\beta_{0\infty}, \beta_{\infty 0}$ are built for the cohomology of the P_* and Q_* , we can see that

- case 1 corresponds to the existence of a sub-line bundle of the V_* , invariant and parallel,
- case 2 corresponds to the existence of a co-rank 1 subbundle of the V_* , invariant and parallel,

Summarizing:

Theorem 8 *Let $k \geq 1, j \geq 0$ be integers. There is an equivalence between*

1) *Exact sequences of sheaves*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(j) & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & Q_{\infty 0} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & P_\infty & \rightarrow & Q_{\infty 0} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \rightarrow & P_0 & \rightarrow & Q_{0\infty} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-j) & \rightarrow & P_\infty & \rightarrow & Q_{0\infty} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$, with $Q_{0\infty}, Q_{\infty 0}$ torsion sheaves of length $k+j, k$ respectively, supported away from infinity, and with P_0, P_∞ trivialized over infinity on the line, and with irreducible.

2) *Irreducible Nahm complexes α, β on the circle, with rank k over $(\theta_0, \theta_\infty)$, rank $k+j$ over $(\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0)$, with the boundary conditions defined above, modulo the action of the complex gauge group.*

The last major step is to pass from Nahm complexes to solutions of Nahm's equations. These equations are obtained by adding to the covariant constancy condition

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} + [\alpha, \beta] = 0, \tag{68}$$

the additional “real” equation

$$\frac{d(\alpha + \alpha^*)}{dt} + [\alpha, \alpha^*] + [\beta, \beta^*] = 0. \tag{69}$$

These equations are invariant under *unitary* gauge transformations. One then has the theorem that orbits of irreducible Nahm complexes under the action of the complex gauge group contain a unique solution to Nahm's equations, up to the action of the unitary gauge group. The idea of the proof, due to Donaldson [10], is to give a variational formulation to the equations, and to show that each orbit contains a unique critical point. The proof given in [17, Sect. 2] in the context of $SU(N)$ monopoles extends verbatim to the case we consider here, with one main difference, that of irreducibility. In [17, Sect. 2], the irreducibility is automatic, because of the pole structure. Here, as we have seen for the Nahm complexes, the irreducibility must be put in as a supplementary condition. We note that, with the addition of a metric structure, the notions of codimension one invariant subbundle and dimension one invariant subbundle fuse as one solves the variational problem, into a dimension one subbundle, invariant under the T_i ; in other words, minimizing the energy takes one from a block upper triangular form or block lower triangular form to a block diagonal form.

This last equivalence, combined with Theorems 6, 7, and 8, provides a proof of our main result, Theorem 1, which we now rewrite in the language we absorbed throughout our journey.

Theorem 9 *Let $k \geq 0, j \geq 0$ be integers. There is an equivalence between*

- 1) *Vector bundles E of rank two on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, with $c_1(E) = 0, c_2(E) = k$. trivialized along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\} \cup \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and with a based flag $\phi: \mathcal{O}(-j) \hookrightarrow E$ of degree j along $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}$ (up to non-zero scalar multiple), with the basing condition $\phi(\infty)(\mathcal{O}(-j)) = \text{span}(0, 1)$, and*
- 2) *Irreducible solutions to Nahm's equations α, β on the circle, with rank k over $(\theta_0, \theta_\infty)$, rank $k + j$ over $(\theta_\infty, 2\pi + \theta_0)$, with the boundary conditions defined above, modulo the action of the unitary gauge group.*

The case $k = 0$ is a version of a theorem of Donaldson [10], and the other cases have been dealt with above.

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *Instantons in two and four dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), no. 4, 437–451.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, V. G. Drinfel'd, N. J. Hitchin, and Yu. I. Manin, *Construction of instantons*, Phys. Lett. A **65** (1978), no. 3, 185–187.
- [3] Falk Bruckmann, Dániel Nógrádi, and Pierre van Baal, *Constituent monopoles through the eyes of fermion zero-modes*, Nuclear Phys. B **666** (2003), no. 1-2, 197–229, arXiv:hep-th/0305063.
- [4] ———, *Higher charge calorons with non-trivial holonomy*, Nuclear Phys. B **698** (2004), no. 1-2, 233–254, arXiv:hep-th/0404210.
- [5] Falk Bruckmann and Pierre van Baal, *Multi-caloron solutions*, Nuclear Phys. B **645** (2002), no. 1-2, 105–133, arXiv:hep-th/0209010.
- [6] N. P. Buchdahl, *Stable 2-bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces*, Math. Z. **194** (1987), no. 1, 143–152.
- [7] Benoît Charbonneau and Jacques Hurtubise, *The Nahm transform for calorons*, in preparation.
- [8] E. Corrigan and P. Goddard, *Construction of instanton and monopole solutions and reciprocity*, Ann. Physics **154** (1984), no. 1, 253–279.
- [9] S. K. Donaldson, *Instantons and geometric invariant theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **93** (1984), no. 4, 453–460.
- [10] ———, *Nahm's equations and the classification of monopoles*, Comm. Math. Phys. **96** (1984), no. 3, 387–407.
- [11] H. Garland and M. K. Murray, *Kac–Moody monopoles and periodic instantons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **120** (1988), no. 2, 335–351.
- [12] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978.
- [13] J. Harnad, S. Shnider, and Luc Vinet, *The Yang-Mills system in compactified Minkowski space; invariance conditions and $SU(2)$ invariant solutions*, J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979), no. 5, 931–942.
- [14] J. Harnad and Luc Vinet, *On the $U(2)$ invariant solutions to Yang-Mills equations in compactified Minkowski space*, Phys. Lett. B **76** (1978), no. 5, 589–592.
- [15] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [16] N. J. Hitchin, *The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126.
- [17] Jacques Hurtubise, *The classification of monopoles for the classical groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **120** (1989), no. 4, 613–641.

- [18] Marcos Jardim, *A survey on Nahm transform*, J. Geom. Phys. **52** (2004), no. 3, 313–327, arXiv:math.DG/0309305.
- [19] George R. Kempf, *Algebraic varieties*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 172, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [20] Thomas C. Kraan, *Instantons, monopoles and toric hyperKähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **212** (2000), no. 3, 503–533, arXiv:hep-th/9811179.
- [21] Thomas C. Kraan and Pierre van Baal, *Exact T-duality between calorons and Taub-NUT spaces*, Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998), no. 3-4, 268–276, arXiv:hep-th/9802049.
- [22] ———, *Monopole constituents inside $SU(n)$ calorons*, Phys. Lett. B **435** (1998), 389–395, arXiv:hep-th/9806034.
- [23] ———, *Periodic instantons with non-trivial holonomy*, Nuclear Phys. B **533** (1998), no. 1-3, 627–659, arXiv:hep-th/9805168.
- [24] Kimyeong Lee, *Instantons and magnetic monopoles on $\mathbf{R}^3 \times S^1$ with arbitrary simple gauge groups*, Phys. Lett. B **426** (1998), no. 3-4, 323–328.
- [25] Kimyeong Lee and Changhai Lu, *$Su(2)$ calorons and magnetic monopoles*, Phys. Rev. D (2) **58** (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802108.
- [26] Kimyeong Lee and Sang-Heon Yi, *$1/4$ BPS dyonic calorons*, Phys. Rev. D (3) **67** (2003), no. 2, 025012, 9, arXiv:hep-th/0205274.
- [27] Werner Nahm, *Self-dual monopoles and calorons*, Group theoretical methods in physics (Trieste, 1983), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 201, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 189–200.
- [28] Paul Norbury, *Periodic instantons and the loop group*, Comm. Math. Phys. **212** (2000), no. 3, 557–569, arXiv:math-ph/9902007.
- [29] Tom M. W. Nye, *The geometry of calorons*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Edinburgh, 2001, arxiv:hep-th/0311215.
- [30] Tom M. W. Nye and Michael A. Singer, *An L^2 -index theorem for Dirac operators on $S^1 \times \mathbf{R}^3$* , J. Funct. Anal. **177** (2000), no. 1, 203–218, arXiv:math.DG/0009144.
- [31] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [32] R. S. Ward, *A Yang-Mills-Higgs monopole of charge 2*, Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), no. 3, 317–325.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University
 805 Sherbrooke St. W, Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2K6, Canada.
 E-mails: benoit@alum.mit.edu and jacques.hurtubise@mcgill.ca